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The Workshop

Schoenwolf: Why publish? How to choose your journal wisely?

Albertine: How to write your manuscript to sell it?

Albertine: What is accepted ethical conduct in Western publishing?

Schoenwolf/Albertine: Ethical publishing: What would you do?
Getting Published: Why Publish and How to Choose Your Journal Wisely
Publication Provides an Opportunity to Tell Your Story

Once upon a time. . .
Why Tell Your Story? That is, Why Publish?

Main reason: To report the solution of an important scientific or medical problem.
Secondary Reasons

To have your work validated by peer review (not officially part of the scientific record until it undergoes peer review)

To provide a record that’s readily accessible worldwide

To provide a record that’s permanently accessible

To receive approval/recognition from your peers for your work

To repay a debt to society (your education, mentoring, research funding, institutional support) by advancing the field through new knowledge

In academia, publication is an expectation (publish or perish)!
An Inherent Conflict of Interest Based on Two of the Reasons for Publishing:

To Advance One’s Field
To Advance One’s Career

Research Misconduct
But an Author is Not Alone When it Comes to Conflicts of Interest
Publishing Protagonists

Authors

Editors

Reviewers

Readers
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For Publishing to Truly Advance the Field, All Protagonists Need to Act Ethically at All Times
Where to Tell Your Story? That is, How Do You Decide Where to Publish?
Two Choices Need to be Made for Publication

You (the authors) choose where to submit (i.e., which journal)

They (the journals) choose what to publish (i.e., which papers)

But, YOU actually have some control over both choices!
Picking Where to Submit (Totally Your Choice)

What to consider?

A. Fit between your paper and the journal’s scope

B. Reputation of the journal and its editorial board

C. Customer service of the journal
A. Fit between Your Paper and the Journal’s Scope

A good fit, means that your paper is more likely to be accepted by the journal

A good fit means that your paper is more likely to be read by the readers in your field

Your goal in publication is to **showcase** your work: Publications are the coin of the realm (the official currency of your field) that advance your career as well as the field
B. Reputation of the Journal

IMPACT FACTOR:
A good strategy is to submit to the journal with the highest impact factor in your field that you think will likely accept your paper in some form or fashion (don’t aim way too high or low)
Choosing a Highest Impact Journal Can Be Like Fighting with a Double-edged Sword: it Cuts Both Ways!

Journals with the highest impact factor:

- Have the highest non-review and rejection rates
- Have a high “page-pressure” rate resulting in severe limitations in number of pages, figures, and references; much of the data becomes supplementary
- Frequently require several additional experiments, resulting in a delayed resubmission

Because of the limitations, not enough data are always presented to convince skeptical and perhaps jealous scientists (all scientists are skeptical and focus on flaws)

However, Journals with the highest impact factor are widely read and cited, and the science published in them is generally assumed to be better

Schoenwolf©2011
Mitigating Factors for Choosing the Highest Impact Journals

Common knowledge/bias in the field of a journal’s quality (not necessarily the same as impact factor)

Ranking in the field (e.g., 3rd out of 10 journals, in the top third)

The scientific quality of the editorial board

Citation half life

Author’s previous success or lack thereof with a particular journal

Customer service
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C. Customer Service of the Journal

How quickly do they review? How quickly do they publish?

How fairly do they review?

How helpful are their reviews?

Are there costs to publish (page and color) or other limitations like number of pages, number of figures, number of references?

Are they open access? If so, when?

Do you like the quality of their product (e.g., figure reproduction)
How Do They Decide to Accept or Reject Your Story? The Importance of Peer Review
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How Do **They** Choose What to Publish?

Heads, We Accept!

“I wish I could be as calm as JB when it comes to making decisions.”
How Do They Choose What to Publish?

They choose based on fit: is the study of high interest to their readers? Is it of broad interest?

They choose based on the importance of the advance in the field: is the study of high impact?

They choose based on excellence
How Can You Influence Their Choice?
You Have Up to **Two** Chances

At Submission

1. Use the **Title**, **Abstract**, and **Introduction** to announce that an important problem in the field has been solved

2. Use **the argumentative core**—the **Results**, **Discussion**, and **Methods**—to construct a sound scientific argument to convince skeptical scientists that the claim to have solved an important scientific problem is credible

3. Use the **byline**, **Acknowledgments**, and **Literature Cited** to give credit where credit is due
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And, Make Sure that You Write Clearly, Concisely, and Persuasively

“Write good!”
Importantly, Also Include a Cover Letter

“Dear Sir or Madame: . . .”
A Bad Cover Letter

We are pleased to submit our article . . .

The method of this experimental work is not very modern, but we hope this study is quite original.

Therefore we are encouraged and hope to find the audience for our research.

Instead, tell me that you have solved an important scientific/medical problem!
Ancient Chinese Proverbs

Confucius says:

Modesty is attended with profit, arrogance brings on destruction.

The man\textsuperscript{uscript} of worth is really great without being proud; the mean man\textsuperscript{uscript} is proud without being really great. (GCS edited)

Unfortunately, modesty is unlikely to get your manuscript published in a western journal, so “toot your own horn,” without being arrogant (don’t use hyperbole)
Your Second and Final Chance to Influence Their Choice

At Resubmission

Be professional and respectful, but you can challenge (with cogent and concise logic and facts) reviewers’ and editor’s comments and decisions when you believe that they are in error or unreasonable.

Respond to all criticisms with an appropriate revision or a reasonable explanation as to why a revision was not done.

To some degree this is a negotiation process, but the scientific standards (as interpreted by the editors) of the journal must be met.
Don’t Burn Your Bridges!

Peer review and the editorial process: it’s not always perfect, but it’s the best we have
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Publication Provides an Opportunity to Tell Your Story

And they all lived happily ever after. . .